IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V.GIRI

THURSDAY, THE 6TH DECEMBER 2007 / 15TH AGRAHAYANA 1929

WP(C).No. 13097 of 2004(H)

PETITIONER:

SAROJINI.K.K.,AGED 43 YEARS,
KAIPPANANL HOUSE,
PAINGOTHU.P.O.,
MUVATTYPUZHA,
ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.C.A.NAVAS

RESPONDENTS:

1. **THE KERALA KHADI AND VILLAGE INDUSTRIES BOARD,REP.BY THE SECRETARY,**
   THE KERALA KHADI AND VILLAGE INDUSTRIES BOARD,
   VANCHIYOOR, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. **THE VICE CHAIRMAN,THE KERALA KHADI AND VILLAGE INDUSTRIES BOARD,**
   VANCHIYOOR,THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. **THE VILLAGE OFFICER,**
   VANNAPPURAM VILLAGE,
   THODUPUZHA TALUK,
   MUVATTUPUZHA.

4. **THE DEPUTY TAHSILDAR,**
   REVENUE RECOVERY,
   THODUPUZHA.

BY SRI.V.V.JOSHI, SC, KHADI BOARD
R1 & R2 SRI.HARISH, SC, KHADI BOARD
BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. MATHEW GEORGE VADAKKEL

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 06/12/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

KSS

WPC.NO.13097/2004 H

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

EXT.P1: **COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS NO.KB/3811/97/LMI/HB DTD. 10/05/1997.**

EXT.P2: **COPY OF THE MEDICAL CERTIFICATE DTD. 12/11/2003 ISSUED BY DR.ANGEL MARY OF THE SECRET HEART HOSPITAL, PAYNKULAM.**

EXT.P3: **COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DTD. 18/11/2003 IN WP(C) NO.36379/2003 OF THE HONOURABLE HIGH COURT OF KERALA.**

EXT.P4: **COPY OF THE PETITION NO.NIL DTD. 29/12/2003 BEFORE THE SECOND RESPONDENT.**

EXT.P5: **COPY OF THE REVENUE RECOVERY NOTICE NO.B7-1758/03 DTD. 23/10/2003.**

EXT.P6: **COPY OF THE REVENUE RECOVERY NOTICE NO.B7-2738/03 DTD. NIL.**

EXT.P7: **COPY OF THE PETITION NO.NIL DTD. 10/02/004 BEFORE THE 1ST AND THE 2ND RESPONDENTS.**

EXT.P8: **COPY OF THE ORDER NO.905/RR/04 DTD. 19/03/2004.**

EXT.P9: **COPY OF THE COMMUNICATION NO.KB/8931/03/VI(MISC) DTD. 08/03/2004.**

EXT.P10: **COPY OF THE COMMUNICATION NO.61/2004 DTD. 25/06/2004.**

EXT.P11: **COPY OF THE RECEIPT NO.NIL DTD. 15/06/2004.**

/TRUE COPY/

P.A.TO JUDGE

KSS

V.GIRI, J.

==========

W.P.(C)NO.13097 OF 2004

==========

Dated this the 6th day of December 2007

JUDGMENT

The challenge in the writ petition is essentially against the recovery notices issued to the petitioner. By an interim order dated.11.7.2007, this Court directed the petitioner to pay an amount of Rs.3 lakhs within one month from 11.7.2007 and a further amount of Rs.3 lakhs within a period of 45 days. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that pursuant to the interim order, no amount has been paid. Submission is recorded. Petitioner submits that she will withdraw the contentions in the writ petition, but only prays for time to effect payment of the entire amount demanded.

2. In the circumstances, the writ petition is disposed of permitting the petitioner to pay the entire amount now demanded in the recovery notices within a period of four months from today. It is made clear that if there is default in the payment of the amount, in the manner aforementioned, respondents are free to take appropriate steps for enforcing the recovery notices which have already been issued.

V.GIRI, JUDGE

css/